FURANOCOUMARINS FROM HERACLEUM CANESCENS

T. K. RAZDAN,* V. KACHROO, S. HARKAR and G. L. KOUL

Department of Chemistry, Regional Engineering College, Hazratbal, Sprinagar 190006, Kashmir, India

(Received 30 May 1981)

Key Word Index—*Heracleum canescens*; Umbelliferae; methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate; osthol; furanocoumarins; sitosterol.

Abstract—The ethanolic extract of the fresh roots of *Heracleum canescens* has afforded, besides sitosterol, osthol, methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate and 11 furanocoumarins.

INTRODUCTION

The genus Heracleum is well known to contain coumarins [1]. Earlier [2] osthol and three furano-coumarins 8-geranyloxypsoralen, heraclenin and imperatorin were identified in the petroleum extract of the roots of H. canescens. In more detailed studies of these roots, we have isolated and characterized sitosterol, methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate, osthol and 11 linear furanocoumarins. Alloisoimperatorin and methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate are new to the genus.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The residues, left after trituration of the ethanolic extract of the roots of H. canescens with petroleum and ethyl acetate, successively, and removal of the solvents, were partitioned over Si gel columns separately. The effective separation of the mixtures thus obtained was achieved through prep. TLC on Si gel G. The R_f values of the compounds are given in Table 4.

The petroleum residue yielded sitosterol and compounds 2-6 while compounds 1 and 7-12 were obtained from the ethyl acetate residue. Compound 1, mp 80°, analysed for $C_{11}H_{14}O_5$ and its IR spectrum

revealed that it was an aromatic ester. The ¹H NMR spectrum accounted for two aromatic protons at δ 7.29 (s), one COOCH₃ at δ 3.75 (3H,s) and three OMe's at δ 3.86 (9H,s). The mass spectrum of the compound showed a molecular ion peak at m/z 226 with prominent peaks at m/z 211, 195, 168 (100%), and 165. On the basis of this spectral evidence, the compound was characterized as methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate and this was confirmed by its synthesis from gallic acid.

Compounds 2-12 produced a violet colouration on treatment with alkaline hydroxylamine followed by ferric chloride indicating all to be coumarins[3]. Compound 2 was identified as osthol from its spectral properties, mp and co-TLC with an authentic sample. Compounds 3-12 exhibited UV absorptions (Table 1) typical of linear furanocoumarins [4] and had identical ¹H NMR pattern (Tables 2 and 3). In their ¹H NMR spectrum H-3 and H-4 appeared as doublets, J = 9-9.5 Hz, between δ 6.13-6.43 and 7.6-7.95; the H-4 was shifted downfield to δ 8.03 in the case of 12. All the compounds, other than 2, 6 and 12, showed the resonance signal due to H-5 between δ 7.30-7.56. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 contained an additional signal at δ 7.29, attributed to H-8. The resonance signals due to H-5 and H-8 were missing in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 6 and 12. The furano

Table 1. UV absorption of furanocoumarins in methanol

Compou	ınd	U	V λ _{max} nr	n	
3	240	(sh),	245,	289,	327
4	244	(sh),	249,	264	
5	298,	262,	248,	218	
6	299,	263,	249,	216	
7	293,	262,	247,	208	
8	299,	263,	249,	243	
9	297,	260,	248,	218	
10	300,	263,	248,	218	
11	298,	262,	249,	242	

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

17

 $CH_2 \longrightarrow CH \Longrightarrow C (Me)_2$

Table 2	H NMR	of furobenzonyran	protons (δ -values)

Compo	und H-3	H-4	H-5	H-8	H-2'	H-3'
3	6.33(9)	7.76(9)	7.46	7.29	7.60	6.80
4	6.13(10)	7.60(10)	7.16		7.49	6.66
5	6.30(9)	7.77(9)	7.40		7.76	6.86
6	6.20(9)	7.96(9)	_		7.69	6.80
7	6.30(9)	7.76(9)	7.30		7.70	6.80
8	6.30(9.5)	7.79(9.5)	7.56		7.86	6.80
9	6.43(9.5)	7.80(9.5)	7.43	-	7.76	6.89
10	6.36(9.3)	7.76(9.3)	7.36		7.72	6.82
11*	6.29(9.5)	7.83(9.5)	7.40		7.73	6.83
12*	6.32(9.3)	8.03(9.3)			7.72	6.86
13	6.30(9)	8.08(9)			7.70	6.82
14	6.33(9)	7.78(9)	7.36		7.70	6.80
15	6.26(9.5)	7.70(9.5)	7.30		7.60	6.80
16	6.36(9.3)	7.80(9.5)	7.40		7.73	6.82
17	6.30(9.5)	7.95(9.5)			7.70	6.80

Value in parentheses is the J value. Spectra measured in CDCl₃. *Solvent DMSO- d_6 .

$$R_{1}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{3}$$

$$R_{4}$$

$$R_{1}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{3}$$

$$R_{4}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{3}$$

$$R_{4}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{3}$$

$$R_{4}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{3}$$

$$R_{4}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{3}$$

$$R_{4}$$

$$R_{2}$$

$$R_{2$$

Table 3. ¹H NMR of side chain protons (8 values)

Compound	nd C-1"	C-2"	C-3"	C-4"	C-5"	но-	-ОМе	-OAc
						(s,exch. D ₂ O)		
v	4.56(2H.m)	3.2(1H.m)	ļ	1.30(3H,s)	1.36(3H,s)	١	l	1
) v e	3.76(2H, d,	5.20(1H,t,	I	1.76(3H,s)	1.90(3H,s)	4.30	i	
,	J = 7 Hz	J = 8.5,6 Hz						
7	ı	I	ļ	1	ı	i	4.23(3H,s)	
90	4.96(2H, d,	5.60(1H,t,	ŀ	1.73(-Me)	1.73(-Me)	١	!	ı
		J = 8.5.6 Hz						
•	5.26(2H.s)		3.16(1H,m)	1.23(3H,s)	1.36(3H,s)	I	1	i
, <u>c</u>	4.56(2H,m)	3.90(1H,m)	. 1	1.30(3H,s)	1.32(3H,s)	2.73	ı	
=	4.46(2H.overlap)		ļ	5.06(2H,d,	1.86(3H,s)	3.53	I	ı
1				J = 12 Hz				
12	3.73(2H.d)	5.20(1H.t.J = 9.6 Hz)	١	1.76(3H,s)	1.89(3H,s)	ı	1	
13	3.73(2H.d)	5.20(1H,t,J=9,6Hz)	1	1.76(3H,s)	1.89(3H,s)	i	l	2.43
14	4.63(2H,m)	4.23(1H,m)	ļ	1.36(3H,s)	1.41(3H,s)	2.46	1	2.30
15	4.63(2H.m)	4.23(1H,m)	1	1.42(3H,s)	1.50(3H,s)	i	l	2.30, 2.36
16	4.62(2H,m)	4.21(1H,m)	!	5.10(2H,d,	1.90(3H,s)	ı	1	2.43
	,			J = 12 Hz				
17	3.76(2H,d,	3.42(1H,t,	1	5.06(2H,d,	1.90(3H,s)	ı	I	I
	J = 7 Hz			$J=12\mathrm{Hz})$				

Compound	$R_f(\times 100)^*$ in C ₆ H ₆ -EtOAc(7:3)	Compound	$R_f(\times 100)$ in C ₆ H ₆ -EtOAc(9:1)
1	77	3	48
7	67	4	64
8	78	5	45
9	65	6	36
10	14		
11	45		
12	62		

Table 4. R_f values of coumarins

protons appeared as doublets with J = 2.2 Hz. The chemical shift of H-4 indicated that all were C-8 substituted furanocoumarins, 6, 11 and 12 being substituted at C-5 as well[5].

On the basis of the spectral data coupled with the chemical analysis and comparison with lit. mps, 2-11 were identified as osthol, 2; psoralen, 3; 8-gerany-loxypsoralen, 4; heraclenin, 5; alloimperatorin, 6; xanthotoxin, 7; imperatorin, 8; isoheraclenin, 9; heraclenol, 10 and isogosferol, 11. Compound 12 showed IR and mass spectra identical with 6 and formed a monoacetate 13, mp 129°. The chemical shift of H-4 together with the mps of 12 and 13 indicated that compound 12 was an isomer of 6 and was thus characterized as alloisoimperatorin[6].

Heracleum canescens has been found to contain closely related furanocoumarins. The R_f values of these coumarins are shown in Table 4. Contrary to earlier reports [7], it has been found that heraclenol, methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate and sitosterol are the major constituents. The presence of psoralen in this species and its wide distribution in the genus suggest that it is the probable precursor of all other furanocoumarins in the genus.

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps are uncorr. IR were recorded on KBr discs. 'H NMR were run at 60 MHz and MS were recorded at 70 eV.

Extraction and isolation. Heracleum canescens roots collected from Harwan (Kashmir Valley) were thoroughly extracted with hot EtOH. The residue left after removal of the solvent under vacuum was triturated successively with petrol and EtOAc. The residues left after removal of the solvents were chromatographed over Si gel columns, separately. The UV absorptions are given in Table 1. ¹H NMR are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

Characterization of compounds. 1, methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate, mp 80°, $C_{11}H_{14}O_5$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1720, 1595, 1420, 1330, 1220, 1130, 990, 860, 750. MS: m/z at 226, 195 (M-OMe]⁺, 167 [M-CO₂Me]⁺, 164 [M-2×OMe]⁺, 133 [M⁺-3×OMe]⁺. 2, osthol, mp 83° [M⁺] at m/z 244, $C_{15}H_{16}O_3$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1715, 1600, 1495, 1385, 1365. (lit. mp 83–84). 3, psoralen, mp 156°, [M]⁺ at m/z 186, $C_{11}H_6O_3$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1710 (br), 1600, 1560. 4, 8-geranyloxypsoralen, mp 53°, [M]⁺ at m/z 338, $C_{21}H_{22}O_4$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1710, 1600, 1560, 1490, 1380, 1360. ¹H NMR: (CDCl₃) side chain δ 1.53 (3H, s), 1.63 (3H, s), 1.69 (3H, s), 2.0 (6H, d), 4.69 (2H, br d, J = 8.5 Hz), 5.43 (2H, t, J = 9.5, 6 Hz), (lit. mp 53–54°)[9]. 5, heraclenin, mp 113°; [M]⁺ at m/z 286, $C_{16}6H_{14}O_5$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1720,

1598, 1491, 1383, 1366. MS: m/z at 286, 265 $[M - Me]^+$, 250, 186 (100%), 179, 169. (lit. mp 11°)[10]. 6, alloimperatorin, mp 232°, [M]⁺ at m/z 270, $C_{16}H_{14}O_4$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3410 (OH), 1718, 1595, 1495, 1383, 1363. MS: 270, 255, 202 (100%), 185, (lit. mp 226-227°). 7, xanthotoxin, mp 147° $[M]^+$ at m/z 216, $C_{12}H_8O_4$. IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 1710, 1610, 1498. (lit. mp 146°)[11]. 11, isogosferol, mp 63°, [M]⁺ at m/z 286, $C_{16}H_{14}O_5$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3560, 1725, 1690, 1610. MS: 273, 272, 245, 185. 12, alloisoimperatorin, mp 213°, [M]+ at m/z 270, C₁₆H₁₄O₄. IR $\nu_{\rm max}~{\rm cm}^{-1}$: 3310, 1720, 1600, 1470, 1430, 1160, 1120, 850. MS: 270 $[M]^+$, 255 $[M-Me]^+$, 202 (100%), 174, 157. 8, imperatorin, mp 102°, [M]⁺ at m/z 270, $C_{16}H_{14}O_4$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1718, 1595, 1497, 1383, 1360. (lit. mp 102°)[8], 9, isoheraclenin, mp 132°, [M]⁺ at m/z 286, 3 $C_{16}H_{14}O_5$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1710, 1580, 1400, 1380, 1365, 1330. MS: 286, 201, 202 (100%), 256, 145. 10, heracenol, mp 117-118°, [M]⁺ at m/z304, $C_{16}H_{16}O_6$. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3540, 1720, 1605, 1491, 1388, 1370. MS: m/z at 304 [M]⁺, 286 [M – H₂O]⁺, 289 [M – Me]⁺, 245 [M - Me - MeCHO]⁺, 202 (100%).

Acetylation of compounds 10–12. 10 (0.095 g), 11 (0.03 g) and 12 (0.025 g) in C_5H_5N (1 ml) were treated with Ac_2O (2 ml) and left overnight. After usual work-up 14 (0.04 g), mp 101–102°, 15 (0.03 g), mp 65°, 18 (0.025 g), mp 203° and 13 (0.018 g), mp 146° were obtained. The IR of these compounds were very similar. MS: 14, $[M]^+$ at m/z 346. 15 $[M]^+$ at m/z 388. 18, $[M]^+$ at m/z 328. 13, $[M]^+$ at m/z 312.

Partial synthesis of 6. 14 (0.03 g) in C₅H₅N (1 ml) was treated with POCl₃ (5 drops) and the reaction mixture left overnight. After usual work-up, the colourless crystals of acetylisogosferol were recovered.

Acknowledgements—The authors are highly indebted to Dr. K. L. Dhar, RRL, Jammu for spectral analysis and expert suggestions. Thanks are also due to Dr. B. S. Joshi, CIBA-Geigy, Bombay for MS analysis and to Dr. S. K. Koul, R. R. L. for providing some authentic samples. Two of us (V.K. and S.H.) are thankful to CSIR, New Delhi and the Ministry of Education for providing SRF and JRF, respectively. Thanks are also due to Dr. O. N. Wakhlu for providing laboratory facilities.

REFERENCES

- Murray, R. D. H. (1978) in Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products Vol. 35. Wein-Springer, New York.
- Kumar, R., Banerjee, S. K. and Handa, K. L. (1976) Planta Med. 30, 291.
- 3. Feigl, F. (1960) Spot Tests in Organic Analysis, p. 250. Elsevier, New York.
- 4. Lee, H. K. and Soine, T. O. (1969) J. Pharm. Sci. 6, 681.

^{*}On Si gel G(0.1 mm) plates.

- 5. Streck, W. and Mazurek, M. (1972) Lloydia 35, 418.
- Saiki, Y., Morinaga, K., Okegawa, O., Sakai, S., Amaya, Y., Ueno, A. and Fukushina, S. (1971) Yakugaku Zasshi 91, 1313.
- Atal, C. K. (1977) Cultivation and Utilization of Aromatic and Medicinal Plants pp. 111-114. Leitzig Press, North Dakota.
- 8. Heilbron, E. (1965) Dictionary of Organic Compounds, Vol. 3. Eyre & Spottiswoode, London.
- 9. Stanley, W. L. (1965) Tetrahedron 21, 89.
- 10. Dreyer, D. L. (1969) Phytochemistry 8, 1013.
- 11. Heilbron, E. (1965) Dictionary of Organic Compounds, Vol. 5. Eyre & Spottiswoode, London.